

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/525,991	HENDRICKS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert A. Wax	1656	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Robert A. Wax.

 (2) Anthony Zelano.
**Status of Application: Allowable**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 20 November 2006**
**Time: 10:43 AM**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Wax pointed out that the specification was missing a brief description of the drawings, Attorney Zelano indicated that an amendment would be e-filed today.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)